[29th January 1934

[a] *G.O. No.* 2375 *I., dated* 31*st October* 1933, *regarding Thattahalla project, Kollegal taluk, Coimbatore district.*

[b] 4. *G.O. No.* 4862, *L. & M., dated* 23*rd November* 1933, *passing orders on the special officer's general report on the financial position of local bodies.*

[c] 5. *G.O. No.* 5288, *L. & M., dated* 18*th December* 1933, *extending term of members of certain panchayats.*

[d] 6. *Secretary of State's evidence before the Joint Committee on Indian Constitutional Reform, Part I* (11*th to* 28*th July* 1933).

[d] 7. *Secretary of State's evidence before the Joint Committee on Indian Constitutional Reform, Part II* (3*rd October to* 7*th November* 1933).

[d] 8. *Report of the Public Accounts Committee on the accounts of* 1931–32.

[d] 9. *Appropriation Accounts of the Government of Madras for* 1931–32 *and the Report of the Accountant-General thereon.*

[d] 10. *Return of officers under the Government of Madras who held permanent appointments on the* 1*st April of each of the years from* 1924 *to* 1933.

[d] 11. *Return of officers under the Government of Madras who held temporary, acting or probationary appointments on the* 1*st of April of each of the years from* 1924 *to* 1933.

[d] 12. *G.O. No.* 2461 *I., dated* 11*th November* 1933, *regarding the abandonment of Kanchalamma project.*

[e] 13. *U.O. Note No.* 4210 *A-4, dated the* 21*st December* 1933, *regarding answer to the supplementary question to the Legislative Council Question No.* 308 *answered on the* 3*rd November* 1933.

[f] 14. *G.O. No.* 210, *L. & M., dated the* 9*th January* 1934, *regarding extension of term of members of certain taluk boards.*

[g] 15. *G.O. No.* 817, *Finance, dated the* 20*th December* 1933, *regarding the acceptance of the resignation of the membership of Mr. W. O. Wright.*

[h] 16. *Notification of a rule under sub-section* (1) *of section* 16 *of the Madras Motor Vehicles Taxation Act,* 1931.

---

[a] Printed as Appendix XIII on pages 117–120 infra.
[b] Printed as Appendix XIV on pages 120–123 infra.
[c] Published in the *Fort St. George Gazette.*
[d] Printed separately.
[e] Printed as Appendix XV on pages 123–124 infra.
[f] Published in the *Fort St. George Gazette.*
[g] Printed as Appendix XVI on page 124 infra.
[h] Published in the *Fort St. George Gazette.*

R. V. KRISHNA AYYAR,
Secretary to the Legislative Council.

29th January 1934]

APPENDIX I.

[Vide answer to question No. 493 asked by Mr. V. M. Ramaswami Mudaliyar at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 29th January 1934, page 21 supra.]

Name of village.	1930–31. Cows.	1930–31. Sheep.	1930–31. Buffaloes.	1931–32. Cows.	1931–32. Sheep.	1931–32. Buffaloes.	1932–33. Cows.	1932–33. Sheep.	1932–33. Buffaloes.
	Number of cattle for which permits were issued in								
1 Alangayam ..	310	..	1	294	..	..	169	..	..
2 Badakuppam ..	42	513	..	31	359	..	629	177	..
3 Peddur	504	..	..	488	..	..	520	..	..
4 Rajapalaiyam ..	148	77	..	106	..	..	117	..	..
5 Pullakurupalli (Pullakur) ..	183	4	..	149	..	..	102	..	..
6 Narasingapuram..	261	304	..	231	183	..	289	186	..

APPENDIX II.

[Vide answer to question No. 495 asked by S. M. K. Beyabani Sahib Bahadur at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 29th January 1934, page 22 supra.]

Letter from the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated 21st September 1931, *No.* 267/31-*C*-3.

[Projects not fully developed—Kurnool division—Cumbum tank. *Reference.*—Paragraph 4 of G.O. No. 3210 I., dated 14th November 1930.]

An examination of the first and second-crop areas irrigated under the tank for the past ten years shows that the lowest area irrigated in any year during the period is 5,564 acres in 1925–26 while the maximum area irrigated in any year during the same period is 5,734 acres (in 1927–28). In most years 5,700 acres have been irrigated. So this acreage seems to be the maximum area irrigable at present under the tank. Either the area of 6,000 acres fixed already as the area irrigable by complete project may be reduced by 250 acres fixing it as 5,750 acres, or the difference may be ignored as being small.

2. The supply from the catchment to meet irrigation requirements under the tank appear to be quite good as evidenced by the very small variation in the area grown with first crop and by the growing of a substantial area of second crop in many years when the rainfall has been good, and there is no necessity to augment the same unless fresh area could be found under the tank, and new facilities are provided for irrigating it. There seems to be no necessity to investigate the non-development of the full ayacut, nor to increase the supply to the tank on the score that it is not sufficient.

Statement showing the maximum depth of supply in Cumbum tank and the area irrigated during the years 1921–22 *to* 1929–30.

Year.	First-crop period—July to December.			Second-crop period.				Reading on the 1st of			Total of first and second-crop.
	Month.	Date.	Level.*	Extent.	Month.	Date.	Level.*	Extent.	August.	September.	October.	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
				ACS.				ACS.				ACS.
1921–22	November	6 to 10	21·90	5,581	January	1	20·52	2,262	8·50	8·40	11·30	7,843
1922–23	Do.	29	24·90	5,647	Do.	1 to 4	24·20	3,770	13·80	16·10	13·40	9,417
1923–24	October	1 to 6	28·10	5,707	Do.	1	25·25	4,256	13·05	.. †	28·10	9,963
1924–25	November	28	23·40	5,733	Do.	1	22·85	3,231	.. †	15·80	20·70	8,964
1925–26	December	29	20·50	5,564	Do.	1	20·50	1,842	13·00	18·80	16·50	7,406
1926–27	September	16	20·30	5,642	..	..	.. †	248	17·00	13·60	19·80	5,890
1927–28	October	14	21·70	5,734	January	1	20·80	2,981	18·90	17·30	18·50	8,715
1928–29	Do.	26	16·10	5,632	..	..	.. †	207	12·00	13·50	11·60	5,839
1929–30	November	8	18·90	5,619	January	1	15·30	590	.. †	.. †	14·80	..
1930–31	Do.	7	20·70	5,442	Do.	1	18·10	889	..	..	10·50	..
1931–32	Do.	12	22·50	5,562	Do.	1	21·30	2,787	15·50	..	21·10	..
1932–33	August	8	16·80	5,407	May	25	10·20	108	13·30	16·20	2·00	..

Remarks.—The registered ayacut of the tank is 5,183·34 acres of which an extent of 2,750·28 acres is registered double crop.

* The depths given in these columns are those above sill of sluice No. I, i.e., 631·85.

† Readings not available.

N.B.—I Sill level of Pedda Cumbum sluice .. .. 631·85

II Do. Chinna Cumbum sluice .. .. 633·13

III Do. Nakkalagundy sluice .. .. 631·60

TRUTH ALONE TRIUMPHS

29th January 1934]

Proceedings of the Irrigation Development Board, No. 41, dated 13th October 1931.

Submitted to Government.

2. The Irrigation Development Board observes that 95 per cent of the area irrigable is regularly cultivated with a first crop and that in good years the area grown with a second crop is very satisfactory. It, therefore agrees with the Chief Engineer that no further investigation is called for with a view to developing the irrigation of this tank.

3. The Irrigation Development Board further considers that in view of the small difference between the maximum irrigable area and the area actually irrigated, the area of 6,000 acres already fixed as the maximum irrigable area may continue.

Letter from the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works and Labour Department, dated the 22nd January 1932, C.E.P. No. 2861/31.

[Tanks—Kurnool—Cumbum tank—Wastage of water. *Reference.*—Memorandum No. 5081-D/31-4, dated 18th November 1931.]

It is reported that there are good number of sluices in each channel which have not got shutters and locks and there are also open cuts in the channels' banks, especially in one channel instead of pipes or masonry sluices. As a result of these defects more water is drawn from the tank than is normally required and a portion of it is also wasted into the Gundlakamma lower down. By providing shutters and locks to these sluices and constructing masonry sluices in place of open cuts and providing them with shutters it is expected that the above wastage from the tank can be prevented. I have asked the Superintending Engineer to submit proposals for the improvements necessary to prevent wastage together with the estimates therefor. They will be dealt with by me on receipt from the Superintending Engineer.

2. It is also incidentally reported that the *kudimaramat labour not having been enforced* all the channels have become very badly silted up, and large quantities of moss and weeds have been allowed to grow in them. Owing to obstruction caused to the free flow, there had been wastage of water in the upper reaches to the detriment of the supply to the lands towards the tail end. I have asked the Superintending Engineer to request the Collector to issue instructions to the local Revenue Department officers for the enforcement of the Kudimaramat Act so that the channels are maintained in proper regime.